

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

<b>In re Application of:</b>	Harvey B. NEWMAN, et al.	<b>Examiner:</b>	Aaron N. STRANGE
<b>Application No.:</b>	09/839,847	<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	2153
<b>Filing Date:</b>	April 20, 2001	<b>Office Action Date:</b>	March 22, 2006
<b>Docket No.</b>	10758-13	<b>Confirmation No.</b>	2057
<b>Title:</b>	<b>VIRTUAL ROOM VIDEOCONFERENCING SYSTEM</b>		<b>Customer No.</b> 30076

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Dear Sirs:

In response to the Office Action of March 22, 2006, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 8 of this paper.

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-12, 14-27, 29, and 30 are pending in the present application.

Claims 1-4 6, 7, 15-19, 21, 22, and 30 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galvez et al. (cited as reference V in the PTO-892 of 8/225/2004) in view of Tucker et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,590,604).

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.